

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ew

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,122		04/01/2004	Takayuki Kondo	119127	8020
25944	7590	09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B		GE, PLC	MOONEY, MICHAEL P		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDI		22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2883	
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/814,122	KONDO, TAKAYUKI				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael P. Mooney	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH						
 THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(for Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	In the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a police of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replicate of the final rejection. It is of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or a one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any this of the date of the appeal. a).			
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further complete (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 16 and 41.33(a)).	educing or simplifying				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-17 and 19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			_			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a (1).			
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by 	·	•				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		n condition for allowa	ince because.			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the 3/10/06 non-final Office action, the subject matter (SM) of dependent claim 8 was objected to as allowable subject matter when the said SM depended from claims that contained different SM than the SM presented in the 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18. The 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18 raises new issues requiring further consideration in order to evaluate the allowability of the 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18.

9/25/06

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fo